

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.              | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO.    |  |
|------------------------------|---|----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|--|
| 09/910,281                   | 07/19/2001  | Peter Robert Foley   | CM2492                  | 2076                |  |
| 27752 7                      | 590 01/29/2003  |                      |                         |                     |  |
| THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY |   |                      | EXAMINER                |                     |  |
| WINTON HIL                   | INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161 |                      |                         | DELCOTTO, GREGORY R |  |
|                              | 6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE<br>CINCINNATI, OH 45224                       |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER        |  |
|                              | ,   |                      | 1751                    |                     |  |
|                              |   |                      | DATE MAILED: 01/29/2003 | •                   |  |
|                              |   |                      |                         | •                   |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   |  | $\sim$   |
|---|---|--|--|
|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |
| Advisory Action   | 09/910,281  | FOLEY ET AL.   |  |
| ,   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |
|   | Gregory R. Del Cotto  | 1751   |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap   | pears on the cover sheet with the   | correspondence add   | ress   |
| THE REPLY FILED 23 January 2003 FAILS TO PLAC<br>Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to<br>final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either:<br>condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App<br>Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  | avoid abandonment of this appli<br>(1) a timely filed amendment wh  | cation. A proper re ich places the appli   | ply to a<br>cation in  |
| PERIOD FOR F  | REPLY [check either a) or b)]   |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1, ension and the corresponding amount of the data tutory period for reply originally set in | of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION.  136(a) and the appropriate exerting the final Office action; or | See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in |
| 1 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR)   |   |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered  | because:  |  |  |
| (a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require fur  | ther consideration and/or search  | (see NOTE below);  |  |
| (b) 🛛 they raise the issue of new matter (see Note  | e below);   |  |  |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the applicatio<br>issues for appeal; and/or  | n in better form for appeal by ma   | terially reducing or   | simplifying the  |
| (d) they present additional claims without cand   | celing a corresponding number of  | finally rejected clai  | ms.  |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet  |   |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reju  | ection(s):  |  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   | ald be allowable if submitted in a  | separate, timely file  | d amendment  |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because:  |   | sidered but does N   | OT place the   |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered to raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  | pecause it is not directed SOLEL  | Y to issues which we   | ere newly  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims  |   |  | and an   |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow  | vs:   |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |   |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |   |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: 51-91.   |   |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |   |  |  |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on   | is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disar  | proved by the Exar   | miner.   |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure States  | nent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).   | ·  |  |
| 10. Other:  | GREGORY DEL   | COTTO  |  |
|   | PRIMARY EXA   | -  |  |
|   | $\Lambda$ $\Lambda_1$   | MOH  |  |
| 5. Patent and Trademark Office  | ——————————————————————————————————————  | M/V/V  |  |
|   |   |  | . 1  |

PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

## Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/910,281

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's newly submitted amendment raises issues not previously presented which would require further consideration and/or search. For example, the limitation "free of liquid hydrocarbons" raises the issue of new matter and "solvent system consisting of..." would require further consideration and/or search..